June 3, 2002

## Dear Secretary Evans:

As you know, the New York Department of State announced on May 9th, that the proposed route for the Millennium Pipeline is inconsistent with the policies of the New York State Coastal Management Program. I understand that the Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. has appealed the Department of State's decision to you. I am writing in support of the decision and to request that you uphold the Department of State's determination to block the dredging of the Hudson River at Haverstraw Bay.

I firmly believe that the New York Department of State's decision was based on sound environmental policy and good science. I am concerned with the environmental impact that the pipeline crossing would have on the local environment, community development, and the regions drinking water. First and foremost, I am concerned that dredging the 2.1 miles of the Hudson River would disrupt fish and other wildlife in the bay. As a fish estuary, Haverstraw Bay is one of the most biologically diverse sections of the river and should be preserved and protected, not disrupted for the laying of a pipeline. Secondly, the revitalization of local waterfronts would be impaired, harming local economic development efforts in Haverstraw and Croton-on-Hudson. Finally, New York City and Westchester's drinking water could be detrimentally impacted, and any need for natural gas should not come at the expense of our critical water resources. The proposed pipeline route would run 2 feet from a 90 year old aqueduct supplying 40% of the drinking water to NYC, cut down 10% of the trees in the NYC watershed that is needed to filter the water that 9 million people drink, and additionally, runs perilously close to the ConEd electric supply jeopardizing 40% of NYC's electricity and 1900 Briarcliff Manor school children.

While some of the above is not germane to your decision process, these impacts underscore the need for your support of the New York Department of State's decision.

Manor, NY 10510. This is an ongoing effort until there is a ruling in our favor. Bring the letter to work!

thank you for your attention to my request.

Sincerely,

Make blank extra copies as needed. Send completed to PARENTS AGAINST THE PIPELINE, PO Box 2518, Briarcliff

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

#### Dear Secretary Evans,

Sincerely,

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.

- Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York
   City and some Westchester Communities.
  - Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

I respectfully request a commitment for an early decision on this matter and a decision that upholds New York's right to protect its coastline, environment, and citizens.

Signature

De. DAVID T MININGERS

Printed Name

974 KITCHAWAN ROAD

Address

OSSINING NEW YORK 105W

City, State, Zip Code

June 3, 2002

# Dear Secretary Evans:

As you know, the New York Department of State announced on May 9th, that the proposed route for the Millennium Pipeline is inconsistent with the policies of the New York State Coastal Management Program. I understand that the Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. has appealed the Department of State's decision to you. I am writing in support of the decision and to request that you uphold the Department of State's determination to block the dredging of the Hudson River at Haverstraw Bay.

I firmly believe that the New York Department of State's decision was based on sound environmental policy and good science. I am concerned with the environmental impact that the pipeline crossing would have on the local environment, community development, and the regions drinking water. First and foremost, I am concerned that dredging the 2.1 miles of the Hudson River would disrupt fish and other wildlife in the bay. As a fish estuary, Haverstraw Bay is one of the most biologically diverse sections of the river and should be preserved and protected, not disrupted for the laying of a pipeline. Secondly, the revitalization of local waterfronts would be impaired, harming local economic development efforts in Haverstraw and Croton-on-Hudson. Finally, New York City and Westchester's drinking water could be detrimentally impacted, and any need for natural gas should not come at the expense of our critical water resources. The proposed pipeline route would run 2 feet from a 90 year old aqueduct supplying 40% of the drinking water to NYC, cut down 10% of the trees in the NYC watershed that is needed to filter the water that 9 million people drink, and additionally, runs perilously close to the ConEd electric supply jeopardizing 40% of NYC's electricity and 1900 Briarcliff Manor school children.

While some of the above is not germane to your decision process, these impacts underscore the need for your support of the New York Department of State's decision.

I thank you for your attention to my request.

Sincerely Margie alley

NAME Margie alley

STREET 199 STORAGE HOLLOW Rd

CITY RYLAND 10510

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.

Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.

• Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

Sincerely,	0
-	
	May Milas
Signature	or my free year, and to have
	Lunn Whitwo
Printed Na	ime
	Devices Ave.
Address	
	Sleepy Hollow NY 1059/
City, State	, Zip Code
	$\overline{}$

Secretary Donald L. Evans Office of the Secretary Room 5854 U.S. Department of Commerce 14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

- Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.
- Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.
- Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

Sincerely,	Den	m	
Signature	T. GREEN	orm	
Printed Name	1. BROADW	7	
Address Steet	Horion N	7 10591	. Your a
City, State, Zip C	ode		

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.

Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.

• Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

I respectfully request a commitment for an early decision on this matter and a decision that upholds New York's right to protect its coastline, environment, and citizens.

Signature

David S. Werman, M.D.

Printed Name

David S. Werman, M.D.

12 Foster Court

Croton on Hudson

New York 10520

City, State, Zip Code

June 3, 2002

#### Dear Secretary Evans:

As you know, the New York Department of State announced on May 9th, that the proposed route for the Millennium Pipeline is inconsistent with the policies of the New York State Coastal Management Program. I understand that the Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. has appealed the Department of State's decision to you. I am writing in support of the decision and to request that you uphold the Department of State's determination to block the dredging of the Hudson River at Haverstraw Bay.

I firmly believe that the New York Department of State's decision was based on sound environmental policy and good science. I am concerned with the environmental impact that the pipeline crossing would have on the local environment, community development, and the regions drinking water. First and foremost, I am concerned that dredging the 2.1 miles of the Hudson River would disrupt fish and other wildlife in the bay. As a fish estuary, Haverstraw Bay is one of the most biologically diverse sections of the river and should be preserved and protected, not disrupted for the laying of a pipeline. Secondly, the revitalization of local waterfronts would be impaired, harming local economic development efforts in Haverstraw and Croton-on-Hudson. Finally, New York City and Westchester's drinking water could be detrimentally impacted, and any need for natural gas should not come at the expense of our critical water resources. The proposed pipeline route would run 2 feet from a 90 year old aqueduct supplying 40% of the drinking water to NYC, cut down 10% of the trees in the NYC watershed that is needed to filter the water that 9 million people drink, and additionally, runs perilously close to the ConEd electric supply jeopardizing 40% of NYC's electricity and 1900 Briarcliff Manor school children.

While some of the above is not germane to your decision process, these impacts underscore the need for your support of the New York Department of State's decision.

I thank you for your attention to my request.

Sincerely, Cla Polling
NAME Afan Rubenstein
STREET 12 Hazelfon Circle
CITY Brianch & Maner, NY 105TO
STATEZIP

June 3, 2002

# Dear Secretary Evans:

As you know, the New York Department of State announced on May 9th, that the proposed route for the Millennium Pipeline is inconsistent with the policies of the New York State Coastal Management Program. I understand that the Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. has appealed the Department of State's decision to you. I am writing in support of the decision and to request that you uphold the Department of State's determination to block the dredging of the Hudson River at Haverstraw Bay.

I firmly believe that the New York Department of State's decision was based on sound environmental policy and good science. I am concerned with the environmental impact that the pipeline crossing would have on the local environment, community development, and the regions drinking water. First and foremost, I am concerned that dredging the 2.1 miles of the Hudson River would disrupt fish and other wildlife in the bay. As a fish estuary, Haverstraw Bay is one of the most biologically diverse sections of the river and should be preserved and protected, not disrupted for the laying of a pipeline. Secondly, the revitalization of local waterfronts would be impaired, harming local economic development efforts in Haverstraw and Croton-on-Hudson. Finally, New York City and Westchester's drinking water could be detrimentally impacted, and any need for natural gas should not come at the expense of our critical water resources. The proposed pipeline route would run 2 feet from a 90 year old aqueduct supplying 40% of the drinking water to NYC, cut down 10% of the trees in the NYC watershed that is needed to filter the water that 9 million people drink, and additionally, runs perilously close to the ConEd electric supply jeopardizing 40% of NYC's electricity and 1900 Briarcliff Manor school children.

While some of the above is not germane to your decision process, these impacts underscore the need for your support of the New York Department of State's decision.

I thank you for your attention to my request.

Sincerely	10 m		0	(1) 27 2 2 2 6 6
Sincerely NAME	Muscy Car	ozniak	, (Nancy C	Wozman)
STREET	24 Hollowtre	ee Rd		
CITY &	Briarcliff M.	anor, NY	A STATE OF STATE	
STATE/ZIP	NEW YORK	10510		
anning as needed Send comple	ed to PARENTS AGAINST 1	HE PIPELINE PO	Box 2518, Briarcliff	

Make blank extra copies as needed. S Manor, NY 10510. This is an ongoing effort until there is a ruling in our favor. Bring the letter to work!

CONTRACTOR  Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

OS EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAI

2002 JUL -8 AM 8: 16

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.

- Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.
- Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

I respectfully request a commitment for an early decision on this matter and a decision that upholds New York's right to protect its coastline, environment, and citizens.

Signature

Signature

Signature

Printed Name

1615 Spring Valley Rd.

Address

Ossining, N.Y. 10562

City, State, Zip Code

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

OS EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAI
2002 JUL -8 AM 8: 16

Dear Secretary Evans,

City, State, Zip Code

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

- Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.
  - Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.
- Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

I respectfully request a commitment for an early decision on this matter and a decision that upholds

New York's right to protect its coastline, environment, and citizens.

Sincerely,

Signature

DOUGLAS A. VILLEPIQUE

24 MEADOWBROOK DRIVE

OSSINING, NY 10562

June 3, 2002

## Dear Secretary Evans:

As you know, the New York Department of State announced on May 9th, that the proposed route for the Millennium Pipeline is inconsistent with the policies of the New York State Coastal Management Program. I understand that the Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. has appealed the Department of State's decision to you. I am writing in support of the decision and to request that you uphold the Department of State's determination to block the dredging of the Hudson River at Haverstraw Bay.

I firmly believe that the New York Department of State's decision was based on sound environmental policy and good science. I am concerned with the environmental impact that the pipeline crossing would have on the local environment, community development, and the regions drinking water. First and foremost, I am concerned that dredging the 2.1 miles of the Hudson River would disrupt fish and other wildlife in the bay. As a fish estuary, Haverstraw Bay is one of the most biologically diverse sections of the river and should be preserved and protected, not disrupted for the laying of a pipeline. Secondly, the revitalization of local waterfronts would be impaired, harming local economic development efforts in Haverstraw and Croton-on-Hudson. Finally, New York City and Westchester's drinking water could be detrimentally impacted, and any need for natural gas should not come at the expense of our critical water resources. The proposed pipeline route would run 2 feet from a 90 year old aqueduct supplying 40% of the drinking water to NYC, cut down 10% of the trees in the NYC watershed that is needed to filter the water that 9 million people drink, and additionally, runs perilously close to the ConEd electric supply jeopardizing 40% of NYC's electricity and 1900 Briarcliff Manor school children.

While some of the above is not germane to your decision process, these impacts underscore the need for your support of the New York Department of State's decision.

Sincerely,

NAME CAT HOLL,

STREET 15 WOOD FILL NO. J

CITY Briarcliff Manac, MT 105/0

STATEZEP NT 105/0

June 3, 2002

## Dear Secretary Evans

As you know, the New York Department of State announced on May 9th, that the proposed route for the Millennium Pipeline is inconsistent with the policies of the New York State Coastal Management Program. I understand that the Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. has appealed the Department of State's decision to you. I am writing in support of the decision and to request that you uphold the Department of State's determination to block the dredging of the Hudson River at Haverstraw Bay.

I firmly believe that the New York Department of State's decision was based on sound environmental policy and good science. I am concerned with the environmental impact that the pipeline crossing would have on the local environment, community development, and the regions drinking water. First and foremost, I am concerned that dredging the 2:1 miles of the Hudson River would disrupt fish and other wildlife in the bay. As a fish estuary, Haverstraw Bay is one of the most biologically diverse sections of the river and should be preserved and protected, not disrupted for the laying of a pipeline. Secondly, the revitalization of local waterfronts would be impaired, harming local economic development efforts in Haverstraw and Croton-on-Hudson. Finally, New York City and Westchester's drinking water could be detrimentally impacted, and any need for natural gas should not come at the expense of our critical water resources. The proposed pipeline route would run 2 feet from a 90 year old aqueduct supplying 40% of the drinking water to NYC, cut down 10% of the trees in the NYC watershed that is needed to filter the water that 9 million people drink, and additionally, runs perilously close to the ConEd electric supply jeopardizing 40% of NYC's electricity and 1900 Briarcliff Manor school children.

While some of the above is not germane to your decision process, these impacts underscore the need for your support of the New York Department of State's decision.

I thank you for your attention to my request.

- 2			C!	1		*.
	3		Sincere	<b>y</b> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	health and the fall of the first	456.98 (54.5 Chi.)
		44. A 534.	NAME	NoRA John	591	and the second
The second	Section 1		STREET	63 Cuttonwood	distributed in some	William Harrist Sice ( Th
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	CITY	Briarch	manor	nin arminin
			STATE/ZIP	nw York	10570	
A # . C	March					

May 20, 2002

Dear Secretary Evans:

As you know, the New York Department of State announced on May 9th, that the proposed route for the Millennium Pipeline is inconsistent with the policies of the New York State Coastal Management Program. I understand that the Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. has appealed the Department of State's decision to you. I am writing in support of the decision and to request that you uphold the Department of State's determination to block the dredging of the Hudson River at Haverstraw Bay.

I firmly believe that the New York Department of State's decision was based on sound environmental policy and good science. I am concerned with the environmental impact that the pipeline crossing would have on the local environment, community development, and the regions drinking water. First and foremost, I am concerned that dredging the 2.1 miles of the Hudson River would disrupt fish and other wildlife in the bay. As a fish estuary, Haverstraw Bay is one of the most biologically diverse sections of the river and should be preserved and protected, not disrupted for the laying of a pipeline. Secondly, the revitalization of local waterfronts would be impaired, harming local economic development efforts in Haverstraw and Croton-on-Hudson. Finally, New York City and Westchester's drinking water could be detrimentally impacted, and any need for natural gas should not come at the expense of our critical water resources. The proposed pipeline route would run 2 feet from a 90 year old aqueduct supplying 40% of the drinking water to NYC, cut down 10% of the trees in the NYC watershed that is needed to filter the water that 9 million people drink, and additionally, runs perilously close to the ConEd electric supply jeopardizing 40% of NYC's electricity and 1900 Briarcliff Manor school children.

While some of the above is not germane to your decision process, these impacts underscore the need for your support of the New York Department of State's decision.

I thank you for your attention to my request.

Sincerely.

Make extra copies as needed and mail to PARENTS AGAINST THE PIPELINE, PO Box 2518, Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510

heanta Johnson 145 Ochard Rd. Briarcliff Manar

May 20, 2002

**Dear Secretary Evans:** 

As you know, the New York Department of State announced on May 9th, that the proposed route for the Millennium Pipeline is inconsistent with the policies of the New York State Coastal Management Program. I understand that the Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. has appealed the Department of State's decision to you. I am writing in support of the decision and to request that you uphold the Department of State's determination to block the dredging of the Hudson River at Haverstraw Bay.

I firmly believe that the New York Department of State's decision was based on sound environmental policy and good science. I am concerned with the environmental impact that the pipeline crossing would have on the local environment, community development, and the regions drinking water. First and foremost, I am concerned that dredging the 2.1 miles of the Hudson River would disrupt fish and other wildlife in the bay. As a fish estuary, Haverstraw Bay is one of the most biologically diverse sections of the river and should be preserved and protected, not disrupted for the laying of a pipeline. Secondly, the revitalization of local waterfronts would be impaired, harming local economic development efforts in Haverstraw and Croton-on-Hudson. Finally, New York City and Westchester's drinking water could be detrimentally impacted, and any need for natural gas should not come at the expense of our critical water resources. The proposed pipeline route would run 2 feet from a 90 year old aqueduct supplying 40% of the drinking water to NYC, cut down 10% of the trees in the NYC watershed that is needed to filter the water that 9 million people drink, and additionally, runs perilously close to the ConEd electric supply jeopardizing 40% of NYC's electricity and 1900 Briarcliff Manor school children.

While some of the above is not germane to your decision process, these impacts underscore the need for your support of the New York Department of State's decision.

I thank you for your attention to my request.

(0)

Make extra copies as needed and mail to PARENTS AGAINST THE PIPELINE, PO Box 2518, Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510

May 20, 2002

Dear Secretary Evans:

As you know, the New York Department of State announced on May 9th, that the proposed route for the Millennium Pipeline is inconsistent with the policies of the New York State Coastal Management Program. I understand that the Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. has appealed the Department of State's decision to you. I am writing in support of the decision and to request that you uphold the Department of State's determination to block the dredging of the Hudson River at Haverstraw Bay.

I firmly believe that the New York Department of State's decision was based on sound environmental policy and good science. I am concerned with the environmental impact that the pipeline crossing would have on the local environment, community development, and the regions drinking water. First and foremost, I am concerned that dredging the 2.1 miles of the Hudson River would disrupt fish and other wildlife in the bay. As a fish estuary, Haverstraw Bay is one of the most biologically diverse sections of the river and should be preserved and protected, not disrupted for the laying of a pipeline. Secondly, the revitalization of local waterfronts would be impaired, harming local economic development efforts in Haverstraw and Croton-on-Hudson. Finally, New York City and Westchester's drinking water could be detrimentally impacted, and any need for natural gas should not come at the expense of our critical water resources. The proposed pipeline route would run 2 feet from a 90 year old aqueduct supplying 40% of the drinking water to NYC, cut down 10% of the trees in the NYC watershed that is needed to filter the water that 9 million people drink, and additionally, runs perilously close to the ConEd electric supply jeopardizing 40% of NYC's electricity and 1900 Briarcliff Manor school children.

While some of the above is not germane to your decision process, these impacts underscore the need for your support of the New York Department of State's decision.

I thank you for your attention to my request.

Make extra copies as needed and mail to PARENTS AGAINST THE PIPELINE, PO Box 2518, Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510

June 3, 2002

## Dear Secretary Evans:

As you know, the New York Department of State announced on May 9th, that the proposed route for the Millennium Pipeline is inconsistent with the policies of the New York State Coastal Management Program. I understand that the Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. has appealed the Department of State's decision to you. I am writing in support of the decision and to request that you uphold the Department of State's determination to block the dredging of the Hudson River at Haverstraw Bay.

I firmly believe that the New York Department of State's decision was based on sound environmental policy and good science. I am concerned with the environmental impact that the pipeline crossing would have on the local environment, community development, and the regions drinking water. First and foremost, I am concerned that dredging the 2.1 miles of the Hudson River would disrupt fish and other wildlife in the bay. As a fish estuary, Haverstraw Bay is one of the most biologically diverse sections of the river and should be preserved and protected, not disrupted for the laying of a pipeline. Secondly, the revitalization of local waterfronts would be impaired, harming local economic development efforts in Haverstraw and Croton-on-Hudson. Finally, New York City and Westchester's drinking water could be detrimentally impacted, and any need for natural gas should not come at the expense of our critical water resources. The proposed pipeline route would run 2 feet from a 90 year old aqueduct supplying 40% of the drinking water to NYC, cut down 10% of the trees in the NYC watershed that is needed to filter the water that 9 million people drink, and additionally, runs perilously close to the ConEd electric supply jeopardizing 40% of NYC's electricity and 1900 Briarcliff Manor school children.

While some of the above is not germane to your decision process, these impacts underscore the need for your support of the New York Department of State's decision.

I thank you for your attention to my request.

Sincerel	y,			- 4
NAME	Malua B. Palce	2 2 5	1.14	
STREET	100 LODGE RD	-		
СПТҮ	BRIARCUFF MANOR	NY		1.
ATE/ZIP	10510	- 1		

June 3, 2002

Dear Secretary Evans:

As you know, the New York Department of State announced on May 9th, that the proposed route for the Millennium Pipeline is inconsistent with the policies of the New York State Coastal Management Program. I understand that the Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. has appealed the Department of State's decision to you. I am writing in support of the decision and to request that you uphold the Department of State's determination to block the dredging of the Hudson River at Haverstraw Bay.

I firmly believe that the New York Department of State's decision was based on sound environmental policy and good science. I am concerned with the environmental impact that the pipeline crossing would have on the local environment, community development, and the regions drinking water. First and foremost, I am concerned that dredging the 2.1 miles of the Hudson River would disrupt fish and other wildlife in the bay. As a fish estuary, Haverstraw Bay is one of the most biologically diverse sections of the river and should be preserved and protected, not disrupted for the laying of a pipeline. Secondly, the revitalization of local waterfronts would be impaired, harming local economic development efforts in Haverstraw and Croton-on-Hudson. Finally, New York City and Westchester's drinking water could be detrimentally impacted, and any need for natural gas should not come at the expense of our critical water resources. The proposed pipeline route would run 2 feet from a 90 year old aqueduct supplying 40% of the drinking water to NYC, cut down 10% of the trees in the NYC watershed that is needed to filter the water that 9 million people drink, and additionally, runs perilously close to the ConEd electric supply jeopardizing 40% of NYC's electricity and 1900 Briarcliff Manor school children.

While some of the above is not germane to your decision process, these impacts underscore the need for your support of the New York Department of State's decision.

I thank you for your attention to my request.

Sincerel	y, ).
NAME	Mary Ellen Mc Hory
STREET	213 Hellside ave.
СПҮ	Whete Plains
ATE/7IP	nu maz

June 3, 2002

#### Dear Secretary Evans:

As you know, the New York Department of State announced on May 9th, that the proposed route for the Millennium Pipeline is inconsistent with the policies of the New York State Coastal Management Program. I understand that the Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. has appealed the Department of State's decision to you. I am writing in support of the decision and to request that you uphold the Department of State's determination to block the dredging of the Hudson River at Haverstraw Bay.

I firmly believe that the New York Department of State's decision was based on sound environmental policy and good science. I am concerned with the environmental impact that the pipeline crossing would have on the local environment, community development, and the regions drinking water. First and foremost, I am concerned that dredging the 2.1 miles of the Hudson River would disrupt fish and other wildlife in the bay. As a fish estuary, Haverstraw Bay is one of the most biologically diverse sections of the river and should be preserved and protected, not disrupted for the laying of a pipeline. Secondly, the revitalization of local waterfronts would be impaired, harming local economic development efforts in Haverstraw and Croton-on-Hudson. Finally, New York City and Westchester's drinking water could be detrimentally impacted, and any need for natural gas should not come at the expense of our critical water resources. The proposed pipeline route would run 2 feet from a 90 year old aqueduct supplying 40% of the drinking water to NYC, cut down 10% of the trees in the NYC watershed that is needed to filter the water that 9 million people drink, and additionally, runs perilously close to the ConEd electric supply jeopardizing 40% of NYC's electricity and 1900 Briarcliff Manor school children.

While some of the above is not germane to your decision process, these impacts underscore the need for your support of the New York Department of State's decision.

I thank you for your attention to my request.

Sincerely,

CITY STATE/ZIP

STATE/ZIP 10010

Make blank extra copies as needed. Send completed to PARENTS AGAINST THE PIPELINE, PO Box 2518, Briarcliff

Manor, NY 10510. This is an ongoing effort until there is a ruling in our favor. Bring the letter to work!

June 3, 2002

## Dear Secretary Evans:

As you know, the New York Department of State announced on May 9th, that the proposed route for the Millennium Pipeline is inconsistent with the policies of the New York State Coastal Management Program. I understand that the Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. has appealed the Department of State's decision to you. I am writing in support of the decision and to request that you uphold the Department of State's determination to block the dredging of the Hudson River at Haverstraw Bay.

I firmly believe that the New York Department of State's decision was based on sound environmental policy and good science. I am concerned with the environmental impact that the pipeline crossing would have on the local environment, community development, and the regions drinking water. First and foremost, I am concerned that dredging the 2.1 miles of the Hudson River would disrupt fish and other wildlife in the bay. As a fish estuary, Haverstraw Bay is one of the most biologically diverse sections of the river and should be preserved and protected, not disrupted for the laying of a pipeline. Secondly, the revitalization of local waterfronts would be impaired, harming local economic development efforts in Haverstraw and Croton-on-Hudson. Finally, New York City and Westchester's drinking water could be detrimentally impacted, and any need for natural gas should not come at the expense of our critical water resources. The proposed pipeline route would run 2 feet from a 90 year old aqueduct supplying 40% of the drinking water to NYC, cut down 10% of the trees in the NYC watershed that is needed to filter the water that 9 million people drink, and additionally, runs perilously close to the ConEd electric supply jeopardizing 40% of NYC's electricity and 1900 Briarcliff Manor school children.

While some of the above is not germane to your decision process, these impacts underscore the need for your support of the New York Department of State's decision.

I thank you for your attention to my request.

Sincere	y,
NAME	LAURENCE HONIGMAN
STREET	2 Lewist Cf
СПҮ	Brackett Man-
ATE/7IP	AM INTO

June 3, 2002

Dear Secretary Evans

As you know, the New York Department of State announced on May 9th, that the proposed route for the Millennium Pipeline is inconsistent with the policies of the New York State Coastal Management Program. I understand that the Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. has appealed the Department of State's decision to you. I am writing in support of the decision and to request that you uphold the Department of State's determination to block the dredging of the Hudson River at Haverstraw Bay.

I firmly believe that the New York Department of State's decision was based on sound environmental policy and good science. I am concerned with the environmental impact that the pipeline crossing would have on the local environment, community development, and the regions drinking water. First and foremost, I am concerned that dredging the 2.1 miles of the Hudson River would disrupt fish and other wildlife in the bay. As a fish estuary, Haverstraw Bay is one of the most biologically diverse sections of the river and should be preserved and protected, not disrupted for the laying of a pipeline. Secondly, the revitalization of local waterfronts would be impaired, harming local economic development efforts in Haverstraw and Croton-on-Hudson. Finally, New York City and Westchester's drinking water could be detrimentally impacted, and any need for natural gas should not come at the expense of our critical water resources. The proposed pipeline route would run 2 feet from a 90 year old aqueduct supplying 40% of the drinking water to NYC, cut down 10% of the trees in the NYC watershed that is needed to filter the water that 9 million people drink, and additionally, runs perilously close to the ConEd electric supply jeopardizing 40% of NYC's electricity and 1900 Briarcliff Manor school children.

While some of the above is not germane to your decision process, these impacts underscore the need for your support of the New York Department of State's decision.

thank you for your attention to my request.

STATE/ZIP

Make blank extra copies as needed. Send completed to PARENTS AGAINST THE PIPELINE, PO Box 2518, Briarcliff

Manor, NY 10510. This is an ongoing effort until there is a ruling in our favor. Bring the letter to work!

June 2002

Dear Secretary Evans

As you know, the New York Department of State announced on May 9th, that the proposed route for the Millennium Pipeline is inconsistent with the policies of the New York State Coastal Management Program. I understand that the Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. has appealed the Department of State's decision to you. I am writing in support of the decision and to request that you uphold the Department of State's determination to block the dredging of the Hudson River at Haverstraw Bay.

I firmly believe that the New York Department of State's decision was based on sound environmental policy and good science. I am concerned with the environmental impact that the pipeline crossing would have on the local environment, community development, and the regions drinking water. First and foremost, I am concerned that dredging the 2.1 miles of the Hudson River would disrupt fish and other wildlife in the bay. As a fish estuary, Haverstraw Bay is one of the most biologically diverse sections of the river and should be preserved and protected, not disrupted for the laying of a pipeline. Secondly, the revitalization of local waterfronts would be impaired, harming local economic development efforts in Haverstraw and Croton-on-Hudson. Finally, New York City and Westchester's drinking water could be detrimentally impacted, and any need for natural gas should not come at the expense of our critical water resources. The proposed pipeline route would run 2 feet from a 90 year old aqueduct supplying 40% of the drinking water to NYC, cut down 10% of the trees in the NYC watershed that is needed to filter the water that 9 million people drink, and additionally, runs perilously close to the ConEd electric supply jeopardizing 40% of NYC's electricity and 1900 Briarcliff Manor school children.

While some of the above is not germane to your decision process, these impacts underscore the need for your support of the New York Department of State's decision.

thank you for your attention to my request

Sincerely, V. R. Kancharla NAME

STREET 212 Ridgecrest Rd.

CITY Briardyf Many, Ny 10510

STATEZIP 10510

June 3, 2002

#### Dear Secretary Evans:

As you know, the New York Department of State announced on May 9th, that the proposed route for the Millennium Pipeline is inconsistent with the policies of the New York State Coastal Management Program. I understand that the Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. has appealed the Department of State's decision to you. I am writing in support of the decision and to request that you uphold the Department of State's determination to block the dredging of the Hudson River at Haverstraw Bay.

I firmly believe that the New York Department of State's decision was based on sound environmental policy and good science. I am concerned with the environmental impact that the pipeline crossing would have on the local environment, community development, and the regions drinking water. First and foremost, I am concerned that dredging the 2.1 miles of the Hudson River would disrupt fish and other wildlife in the bay. As a fish estuary, Haverstraw Bay is one of the most biologically diverse sections of the river and should be preserved and protected, not disrupted for the laying of a pipeline. Secondly, the revitalization of local waterfronts would be impaired, harming local economic development efforts in Haverstraw and Croton-on-Hudson. Finally, New York City and Westchester's drinking water could be detrimentally impacted, and any need for natural gas should not come at the expense of our critical water resources. The proposed pipeline route would run 2 feet from a 90 year old aqueduct supplying 40% of the drinking water to NYC, cut down 10% of the trees in the NYC watershed that is needed to filter the water that 9 million people drink, and additionally, runs perilously close to the ConEd electric supply jeopardizing 40% of NYC's electricity and 1900 Briarcliff Manor school children.

While some of the above is not germane to your decision process, these impacts underscore the need for your support of the New York Department of State's decision.

thank you for your attention to my request

Sincerely,

NAME Renée. Cho + Paul Mescher

STREET 15 Whitson Rd

CITY Bracket Hanor

ATE/ZIP NY 10870

June 3, 2002

## Dear Secretary Evans:

. 1

As you know, the New York Department of State announced on May 9th, that the proposed route for the Millennium Pipeline is inconsistent with the policies of the New York State Coastal Management Program. I understand that the Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. has appealed the Department of State's decision to you. I am writing in support of the decision and to request that you uphold the Department of State's determination to block the dredging of the Hudson River at Haverstraw Bay.

I firmly believe that the New York Department of State's decision was based on sound environmental policy and good science. I am concerned with the environmental impact that the pipeline crossing would have on the local environment, community development, and the regions drinking water. First and foremost, I am concerned that dredging the 2.1 miles of the Hudson River would disrupt fish and other wildlife in the bay. As a fish estuary, Haverstraw Bay is one of the most biologically diverse sections of the river and should be preserved and protected, not disrupted for the laying of a pipeline. Secondly, the revitalization of local waterfronts would be impaired, harming local economic development efforts in Haverstraw and Croton-on-Hudson. Finally, New York City and Westchester's drinking water could be detrimentally impacted, and any need for natural gas should not come at the expense of our critical water resources. The proposed pipeline route would run 2 feet from a 90 year old aqueduct supplying 40% of the drinking water to NYC, cut down 10% of the trees in the NYC watershed that is needed to filter the water that 9 million people drink, and additionally, runs perilously close to the ConEd electric supply jeopardizing 40% of NYC's electricity and 1900 Briarcliff Manor school children.

While some of the above is not germane to your decision process, these impacts underscore the need for your support of the New York Department of State's decision.

thank you for your attention to my request.

Sincerely, Debbie Johnson

STREET Y2 Satinguo d (one

CITY Briancliff

STATEZIP W 105-10

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.

Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.

• Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

Sincerely,			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
	the state of the s	90 ·	
Signature Willissa Waters		laking Togs (	i ming
Printed Name 12 Craw Hill Rd.	na lahani sarajir		
Address Kiso, NY 1054	7		
City, State, Zip Code			

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.

- Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York
  City and some Westchester Communities.
  - Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

Sincerel	у,				
	Mathe	K& te.	1		
Signatur	MARTH Name	AR	. STEZ	WAKT	<b>√</b> .
Printed 1	Name 3 Scar			•	
Address	Scarbon	rough	214	10510	Single Property of the Section 1997
City, Sta	te, Zip Code	0			·

Secretary Donald L. Evans Office of the Secretary Room 5854 U.S. Department of Commerce 14th & Constitution Ave. NW Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the State's Coastal Management Program and violated its policies in several ways including by:

Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.

Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.

Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

This finding was properly made by New York State under the Coastal Zone Management Act. To overturn this decision would call into question the ability of States to manage their coastlines, the effectiveness of the Coastal Zone Management Act, and this administration's commitment to State Rights.

I am also aware that you have the ability to overturn this decision based on national security. Surely, national security is not served by placing a known terrorist target adjacent to the transmission towers that supply 40% of New York City's electricity, through New York City's and Westchester County's water supply, within 1.6 miles of the Indian Point Nuclear Power Facility, and next to homes and schools.

I respectfully request a commitment for an early decision on this matter and a decision that upholds New York's right to protect its coastline, environment, and citizens.

Sincerely, squeffy Bennett

Name and Address Raquel M. Benne H

336 Nuber Ave Mt. Vernon, NY 10553

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

# Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

- Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.
- Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.
- Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

Sincerely,	Lauro	Rem-OKH		- Into	<b>(</b> ,377), N
Signature	LAXA	-ROSST- (	Section 1	<i>₹</i> 2	2.7%
Printed Nar	ne 20	haure How	Read		
Address	· //	c Kisco	M 105	149	<b>.</b>
City, State,	Zip Code		đ		

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

## Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

- Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.
- Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.
- Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

I respectfully request a commitment for an early decision on this matter and a decision that upholds New York's right to protect its coastline, environment, and citizens.

15%

Sincerely,	7 _
Coul Wif	Michigallens
Signature  Be and Klink	Michele all link
Printed Name 130 Teatow	n Rd
Address Croton. U	10259
City, State, Zip Code	n 1995 a sinda de maria de la filla de La filla de la

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.

Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.

• Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

Sincerely,	Men	L. Pa	llah	
Signature	Meri	L Poll	'ak	
Printed Nan	ne 1855	Sonh	Valla	Rd.
Address	OSSIV	ring,	1/.4.	10562
City, State,	Zip Code	]	J	

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.

Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.

• Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

Sincerety, Lacid Legis
Signature Boettigheimer
Printed Name Butter Ct.
Address YorkTown N.Y. 10598
City, State, Zip Code

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

 Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.

Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.

• Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

Sincerely,	Dru Toypes		
Signature	Doneen T. Li	Whres-	inge appear
Printed Na			
Address		4 105 45 - 037.	
City, State		+	

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.

Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.

• Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

Sincerely,
Couper and John Hishar
Signature //
CARLYMY & SETH HOCHBERG
Printed Name
1450 JOURNEY'S END RD
Address
CROTON-ON-HUDSON, MY 10520
City, State, Zip Code

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.

- Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.
- Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

I respectfully request a commitment for an early decision on this matter and a decision that upholds New York's right to protect its coastline, environment, and citizens.

Signature
Signat

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.

- Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.
- Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

Sincerely,	6 1 300	
Signature		<del></del>
Printed Name	Mr. Jonathan Berman 19 Old Roaring Brook Rd Mount Kisco NY 10549-3710	
Address	- man	
City, State, Zip Code		

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

- Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.
- Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.
- Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

Sincerely,	
Signature Elizabeth G (	auto
Printed Name 29 QUICKS lake	1C
Address Katonah, Ny 1	0586
City, State, Zip Code	

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

- Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.
- Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.
- Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

I respectfully request a commitment for an early decision on this matter and a decision that upholds New York's right to protect its coastline, environment, and citizens.

Printed Name

Address

City, State, Zip Code

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

Sincerely,

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.

Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.

• Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

I respectfully request a commitment for an early decision on this matter and a decision that upholds New York's right to protect its coastline, environment, and citizens.

Signature S

Signature S

Address

Cortlandt Manon NY 20567

City, State, Zip Code

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.

- Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.
- Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

Sincerely,	
Signature TEAN NELATEN	
Printed Name 5 VOUNG 5	
Address Contant Mann NY	0567
City, State, Zip Code	

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.

- Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.
- Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

I respectfully request a commitment for an early decision on this matter and a decision that upholds New York's right to protect its coastline, environment, and citizens.
Sincerely, Lieke. a. Servis / Jul. Dewin was
Signature Vicki A. Feiner / Fregenick 1. Feiner M.
Printed Name 14 Helena Drw
Address

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.

- Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.
  - Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

Sincerely,	
Darrick Shapes	
Signature HARRIST SHAPIRO	<del>-</del>
Printed Name 333 16 State B	
Address, DRIARULIEF NY 10510	
City, State, Zip Code	_

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.

Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.

• Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

I respectfully request a commitment for an early decision on this matter and a decision that upholds New York's right to protect its coastline, environment, and citizens.

Sincerely,

Signature

RED R SWARTZ ORACE SWARTZ

Printed Name

PARK DR

Address

SSINING, N.V. 10562

City, State, Zip Code

June 3, 2002

## Dear Secretary Evans:

As you know, the New York Department of State announced on May 9th, that the proposed route for the Millennium Pipeline is inconsistent with the policies of the New York State Coastal Management Program. I understand that the Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. has appealed the Department of State's decision to you. I am writing in support of the decision and to request that you uphold the Department of State's determination to block the dredging of the Hudson River at Haverstraw Bay.

I firmly believe that the New York Department of State's decision was based on sound environmental policy and good science. I am concerned with the environmental impact that the pipeline crossing would have on the local environment, community development, and the regions drinking water. First and foremost, I am concerned that dredging the 2.1 miles of the Hudson River would disrupt fish and other wildlife in the bay. As a fish estuary, Haverstraw Bay is one of the most biologically diverse sections of the river and should be preserved and protected, not disrupted for the laying of a pipeline. Secondly, the revitalization of local waterfronts would be impaired, harming local economic development efforts in Haverstraw and Croton-on-Hudson. Finally, New York City and Westchester's drinking water could be detrimentally impacted, and any need for natural gas should not come at the expense of our critical water resources. The proposed pipeline route would run 2 feet from a 90 year old aqueduct supplying 40% of the drinking water to NYC, cut down 10% of the trees in the NYC watershed that is needed to filter the water that 9 million people drink, and additionally, runs perilously close to the ConEd electric supply jeopardizing 40% of NYC's electricity and 1900 Briarcliff Manor school children.

While some of the above is not germane to your decision process, these impacts underscore the need for your support of the New York Department of State's decision.

I thank you for your attention to my request.

Sincerely,
NAME
STREET
CITY

BRIARCLIFF AWR.

STATEZEP

June 3, 2002

# Dear Secretary Evans:

As you know, the New York Department of State announced on May 9th, that the proposed route for the Millennium Pipeline is inconsistent with the policies of the New York State Coastal Management Program. I understand that the Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. has appealed the Department of State's decision to you. I am writing in support of the decision and to request that you uphold the Department of State's determination to block the dredging of the Hudson River at Haverstraw Bay.

I firmly believe that the New York Department of State's decision was based on sound environmental policy and good science. I am concerned with the environmental impact that the pipeline crossing would have on the local environment, community development, and the regions drinking water. First and foremost, I am concerned that dredging the 2.1 miles of the Hudson River would disrupt fish and other wildlife in the bay. As a fish estuary, Haverstraw Bay is one of the most biologically diverse sections of the river and should be preserved and protected, not disrupted for the laying of a pipeline. Secondly, the revitalization of local waterfronts would be impaired, harming local economic development efforts in Haverstraw and Croton-on-Hudson. Finally, New York City and Westchester's drinking water could be detrimentally impacted, and any need for natural gas should not come at the expense of our critical water resources. The proposed pipeline route would run 2 feet from a 90 year old aqueduct supplying 40% of the drinking water to NYC, cut down 10% of the trees in the NYC watershed that is needed to filter the water that 9 million people drink, and additionally, runs perilously close to the ConEd electric supply jeopardizing 40% of NYC's electricity and 1900 Briarcliff Manor school children.

While some of the above is not germane to your decision process, these impacts underscore the need for your support of the New York Department of State's decision.

thank you for your attention to my request.

STATE/ZIP

Sincerely, Rachel A Sunt M.O.

STREET A Wappinger Trail

CITY Proposition Menor

June 3, 2002

## Dear Secretary Evans:

As you know, the New York Department of State announced on May 9th, that the proposed route for the Millennium Pipeline is inconsistent with the policies of the New York State Coastal Management Program. I understand that the Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. has appealed the Department of State's decision to you. I am writing in support of the decision and to request that you uphold the Department of State's determination to block the dredging of the Hudson River at Haverstraw Bay.

I firmly believe that the New York Department of State's decision was based on sound environmental policy and good science. I am concerned with the environmental impact that the pipeline crossing would have on the local environment, community development, and the regions drinking water. First and foremost, I am concerned that dredging the 2.1 miles of the Hudson River would disrupt fish and other wildlife in the bay. As a fish estuary, Haverstraw Bay is one of the most biologically diverse sections of the river and should be preserved and protected, not disrupted for the laying of a pipeline. Secondly, the revitalization of local waterfronts would be impaired, harming local economic development efforts in Haverstraw and Croton-on-Hudson. Finally, New York City and Westchester's drinking water could be detrimentally impacted, and any need for natural gas should not come at the expense of our critical water resources. The proposed pipeline route would run 2 feet from a 90 year old aqueduct supplying 40% of the drinking water to NYC, cut down 10% of the trees in the NYC watershed that is needed to filter the water that 9 million people drink, and additionally, runs perilously close to the ConEd electric supply jeopardizing 40% of NYC's electricity and 1900 Briarcliff Manor school children.

While some of the above is not germane to your decision process, these impacts underscore the need for your support of the New York Department of State's decision.

I thank you for your attention to my request

Sincere	ly, o
NAME	Dean M. 6 Snow
STREET	51 Hall Ad-
CITY	Briarclift Manon, N.7. 105 10
STATE/ZIP	,

June 3, 2002

Dear Secretary Evans:

As you know, the New York Department of State announced on May 9th, that the proposed route for the Millennium Pipeline is inconsistent with the policies of the New York State Coastal Management Program. I understand that the Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. has appealed the Department of State's decision to you. I am writing in support of the decision and to request that you uphold the Department of State's determination to block the dredging of the Hudson River at Haverstraw Bay.

I firmly believe that the New York Department of State's decision was based on sound environmental policy and good science. I am concerned with the environmental impact that the pipeline crossing would have on the local environment, community development, and the regions drinking water. First and foremost, I am concerned that dredging the 2.1 miles of the Hudson River would disrupt fish and other wildlife in the bay. As a fish estuary, Haverstraw Bay is one of the most biologically diverse sections of the river and should be preserved and protected, not disrupted for the laying of a pipeline. Secondly, the revitalization of local waterfronts would be impaired, harming local economic development efforts in Haverstraw and Croton-on-Hudson. Finally, New York City and Westchester's drinking water could be detrimentally impacted, and any need for natural gas should not come at the expense of our critical water resources. The proposed pipeline route would run 2 feet from a 90 year old aqueduct supplying 40% of the drinking water to NYC, cut down 10% of the trees in the NYC watershed that is needed to filter the water that 9 million people drink, and additionally, runs perilously close to the ConEd electric supply jeopardizing 40% of NYC's electricity and 1900 Briarcliff Manor school children.

While some of the above is not germane to your decision process, these impacts underscore the need for your support of the New York Department of State's decision.


Sincerely,

NAME Michael Reinel

STREET 100 Quinn Rd.

CITY Brianci AC Mainol

STATEZIP NY 10510



## Dear Secretary Evans

As you know, the New York Department of State announced on May 9th, that the proposed route for the Millennium Pipeline is inconsistent with the policies of the New York State Coastal Management Program. I understand that the Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. has appealed the Department of State's decision to you. I am writing in support of the decision and to request that you uphold the Department of State's determination to block the dredging of the Hudson River at Haverstraw Bay.

I firmly believe that the New York Department of State's decision was based on sound environmental policy and good science. I am concerned with the environmental impact that the pipeline crossing would have on the local environment, community development, and the regions drinking water. First and foremost, I am concerned that dredging the 2.1 miles of the Hudson River would disrupt fish and other wildlife in the bay. As a fish estuary, Haverstraw Bay is one of the most biologically diverse sections of the river and should be preserved and protected, not disrupted for the laying of a pipeline. Secondly, the revitalization of local waterfronts would be impaired, harming local economic development efforts in Haverstraw and Croton-on-Hudson. Finally, New York City and Westchester's drinking water could be detrimentally impacted, and any need for natural gas should not come at the expense of our critical water resources. The proposed pipeline route would run 2 feet from a 90 year old aqueduct supplying 40% of the drinking water to NYC, cut down 10% of the trees in the NYC watershed that is needed to filter the water that 9 million people drink, and additionally, runs perilously close to the ConEd electric supply jeopardizing 40% of NYC's electricity and 1900 Briarcliff Manor school children.

While some of the above is not germane to your decision process, these impacts underscore the need for your support of the New York Department of State's decision.

I thank you for your attention to my request.

Sincerely NAME

NAME

CHY

STATE/ZIP

Make blank extra copies as needed. Send completed to PARENTS AGAINST THE PIPELINE, PO Box 2518, Briarcliff

Manor, NY 10510. This is an ongoing effort until there is a ruling in our favor. Bring the letter to work!

June 3, 2002

## Dear Secretary Evans

As you know, the New York Department of State announced on May 9th, that the proposed route for the Millennium Pipeline is inconsistent with the policies of the New York State Coastal Management Program. I understand that the Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. has appealed the Department of State's decision to you. I am writing in support of the decision and to request that you uphold the Department of State's determination to block the dredging of the Hudson River at Haverstraw Bay.

I firmly believe that the New York Department of State's decision was based on sound environmental policy and good science. I am concerned with the environmental impact that the pipeline crossing would have on the local environment, community development, and the regions drinking water. First and foremost, I am concerned that dredging the 2.1 miles of the Hudson River would disrupt fish and other wildlife in the bay. As a fish estuary, Haverstraw Bay is one of the most biologically diverse sections of the river and should be preserved and protected, not disrupted for the laying of a pipeline. Secondly, the revitalization of local waterfronts would be impaired, harming local economic development efforts in Haverstraw and Croton-on-Hudson. Finally, New York City and Westchester's drinking water could be detrimentally impacted, and any need for natural gas should not come at the expense of our critical water resources. The proposed pipeline route would run 2 feet from a 90 year old aqueduct supplying 40% of the drinking water to NYC, cut down 10% of the trees in the NYC watershed that is needed to filter the water that 9 million people drink, and additionally, runs perilously close to the ConEd electric supply jeopardizing 40% of NYC's electricity and 1900 Briarcliff Manor school children.

While some of the above is not germane to your decision process, these impacts underscore the need for your support of the New York Department of State's decision.

thank you for your attention to my request.

Sincerely,
NAME ROBIN T. PUBIA
STREET 33 TWITTE FLA

STATE/ZIP OS O

Make blank extra copies as needed. Send completed to PARENTS AGAINST THE PIPELINE, PO Box 2518, Briarcliff

Manor, NY 10510. This is an ongoing effort until there is a ruling in our favor. Bring the letter to work!

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans.

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.

- Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.
- Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

Sincerely	<b>,</b> Transpo		1 m		
	Tusav	Son	1		
	Susa	n Sa	dd C	ahin higor	
Printed N	ame 806	Syska			
Address	Ossin	ing, Ny	11056	2	-
City, State	e, Zip Code	, , , , ,		, ,	_

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

## Dear Secretary Evans,

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

- Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.
- Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.
- Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

Signature	enga kembangan men <mark>gun</mark> un s	1988
Printed Name	Alan P. Rolle 34 Orchard Ridge Chappaqua, NY 10514	
Address	The second secon	

Secretary Donald L. Evans
Office of the Secretary
Room 5854
U.S. Department of Commerce
14<sup>th</sup> & Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20230

Dear Secretary Evans,

Sincerely,

I am writing as a member and/or volunteer of Teatown Lake Reservation, a 759-acre nature preserve and education center located in the Croton Watershed and in the path of the proposed Millennium Pipeline, to express my belief that you should not overturn a correct and properly made decision by New York State regarding the Millennium Gas Pipeline crossing of the Hudson River as proposed.

On May 9, 2002, the New York Department of State issued its determination:

The Department of State's decision found that the proposal was inconsistent with the provisions of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act and violated its policies in several ways by:

Adversely affecting the State-designated Haverstraw Bay Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat.

Not adequately addressing concerns regarding the drinking water supply for New York City and some Westchester Communities.

• Being inconsistent with the Village of Croton-on-Hudson's Local Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Teatown's preserve would be severely impacted by this pipeline route, as would the watershed in which it plays a major role. Teatown's wetlands, lake and streams are currently providing vital protection to the Croton Reservoir by filtering pollution through wetland plants and preventing flooding through the many systems available in the natural environment. Construction of the Millennium Pipeline through Teatown would destroy these natural systems so important for the protection of public drinking water, not to mention the impact it would have on Teatown's operation as a regional environmental education center serving over 40,000 citizens each year.

I respectfully request a commitment for an early decision on this matter and a decision that upholds New York's right to protect its coastline, environment, and citizens.

Signature GERTRYDE TUXEN

Printed Name

24 NORDICH DR

Address

Crofon - on - HUDSON, NY 40520

City, State, Zip Code